	·
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND
3	
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * C P NO 19 1/1 IIM
5	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * C.R. NO. 18-141-JJM UNITED STATES OF AMERICA *
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA *
7	VS. * MARCH 22, 2019 * 10:00 A.M. THOMAS GOODMAN *
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * PROVIDENCE, RI
9	PROVIDENCE, KI
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN J. McCONNELL, JR.,
11	DISTRICT JUDGE
12	(Sentencing Hearing)
13	(Sentencing hearing)
14	APPEARANCES:
15	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: LEE VILKER, AUSA U.S. Attorney's Office
16	50 Kennedy Plaza Providence, RI 02903
17	FOR THE DEFENDANT: MATTHEW S. DAWSON, ESQ.
18	Lynch & Pine One Park Row, 5th Floor
19	Providence, RI 02903
20	Court Reporter: Karen M. Wischnowsky, RPR-RMR-CRR One Exchange Terrace
21	Providence, RI 02903
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
22 MARCH 2019 -- 10:00 A.M.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. We're here
 3
      this morning for sentencing in the case of the United
      States versus Thomas Goodman, Criminal Action 18-141.
 4
 5
              Would counsel identify themselves for the
 6
      record.
 7
              MR. VILKER: Lee Vilker, Assistant U.S.
 8
      Attorney, for the Government, your Honor.
9
              THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Vilker.
10
              MR. VILKER: Good morning.
              MR. DAWSON: Matthew Dawson for Mr. Goodman.
11
12
      Good morning, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Dawson.
13
14
              Good morning, Mr. Goodman.
15
              THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.
16
              THE COURT: Mr. Goodman, the Probation
17
      Department issued a presentence report in your case.
18
      Did you receive a copy of that?
19
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
20
              THE COURT: And did you have a chance to review
21
      that with your lawyer?
22
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
23
              THE COURT: And did he answer any questions that
24
      you have about that?
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25
```

THE COURT: How we're going to proceed this morning is, it will take a while, but we've got to calculate the advisory guideline ranges which are contained in the presentence report.

We'll go through that. I'll see if there's any objections by either side to the presentence report or the guidelines. If there are, I'll hear that. If not, the Government will then make its sentencing recommendation.

I understand from my clerk that there's a victim statement that can come at any time, Mr. Vilker, that you wish.

Your lawyer will then be able to make his sentencing recommendation. Then you'll be allowed to speak if you wish to, and then I'll get on with the sentence. Okay?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So the guidelines set forth in the presentence report are probably the most complicated that I've ever seen; and they speak for themselves, I should say from the beginning, if I get their explanations wrong; but Counts I through IV of sexual exploitation of a minor are similar.

Each of those counts carry a base offense level of 32. Each of those counts have certain specific and

the same specific offense characteristics. One is because the offense involved a minor who had not yet obtained the age of 12, four points are added. Two points are added because the offense involved the commission of a sexual act or sexual contact. Two points are added because the Defendant knowingly engaged in the distribution of those images. Two points are added because the Defendant is a parent of minor -- one of the minor victims in each of the first four counts. So the adjusted offense level for each of the Counts I, II, III and IV is 42.

Count V and VI also have the same calculations, each separately, but slightly different. The base offense level for Counts V and VI are at 32. The four-point addition, because Minor Victim 3 was three weeks old to one year of age at the time of the offense, four points are added. Two points are added because the Defendant admitted committing these acts upon Minor Victim 3 as set forth in the paragraph. Two points are added because the Defendant knowingly engaged in distribution. Four points are added because the offense involves sadistic or masochistic conduct or other depictions of violence as to one of the minor victims set forth in Counts V and VI, and two points are added because the Defendant is the father of one of

the minor victims. So Counts V and VI carry an adjusted offense level of 46.

Similarly, Counts VII and VIII can be grouped together under the guidelines, carries with it a base offense level of 32. Four points are added because of the minor victim's age at the time, two points are added because of the knowing distribution, and two points are added because one of the minor victims was under the supervisory control of the Defendant for an adjusted offense level of Counts VII and VIII of 40.

Count IX, which is the possession of child pornography, starts with a base offense level of 18. Two points are added because minor children were involved. Two points were added because distribution was involved. Four points are added because the material involves sadistic or masochistic conduct. Five points are added because of the sexual abuse or exploitation of a minor that was involved. Two points are added because of the use of a computer. Five points are added because there were more than 600 images for an adjusted offense level of 38. That's the nine counts.

When you do the multiple-count adjustment,

Counts I through VI equal one unit under the

guidelines, Counts VII and IX each equal a half a unit,

and so the total number of units involved in these nine counts is seven and a half.

The greater offense level of all the counts is a 46. That is increased by five points because of the number of units involved for a combined offense level of 51.

There is an enhancement of five points because the activity involved prohibited sexual conduct and meeting the category of the guidelines that represents repeat and dangerous sex offender -- as a dangerous and repeat sex offender against minors for a total of 56.

Two points are deducted for acceptance of responsibility. And, Mr. Vilker, the Government wishes to make a motion on the third point?

MR. VILKER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Which will be granted, and all of that takes us to the point that we are well above by about 10 the top guideline level anyway. So the total offense level is a 43, and that's because there's no higher level. So instead of a 53, it will be a 43.

Mr. Goodman has no criminal history, therefore, no points, and is a Criminal History Category I.

So a total offense level of 43, criminal history category of I, along with a -- many other machinations that the guidelines require and the statute requires

comes with a recommended period of incarceration of 3,120 months, which is 260 years, with a caveat that each of the first eight counts carry with it a 15-year mandatory minimum.

Mr. Vilker, any objection to the calculation of the guidelines or to the presentence report?

MR. VILKER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Dawson?

MR. DAWSON: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Great. Mr. Vilker, I'll hear from the Government.

MR. VILKER: Your Honor, I think before the Government makes its sentencing argument, we would call up the victim here, the parent of one of the victims.

THE COURT: Sure. Ma'am, there's no reason for you to reveal your name, but if you would come forward and just be sure to speak into the microphone. Thank you.

SPEAKER: Good morning, your Honor. I was asked to write a statement in regards to the crimes committed by the Defendant and how these crimes have affected myself, my family and my three children.

May 17th was just like any other day being home with the children. Little did I know that it would be the day when my entire world would shatter into a

million pieces. It would be the day when my children's entire world as they knew it would change, but they would be too young to understand how or why.

I was telling my kids that daddy would be home soon not knowing that they would, in fact, never be seeing their father again.

This has been the biggest emotional roller coaster I have ever been on in my entire life. I had to watch what seems like dozens of police officers tear apart my home and look through my personal belongings searching for any evidence in regards to the crimes committed.

I was asked to look at sensitized photos of child pornography to try and identify any potential victims, and doing so made me sick to my stomach. I didn't want to, but I knew that it had to be done to help anyone who may have been victimized by the Defendant.

I would never in a million years want to see a child being exploited and abused in such a manner; but because of the Defendant's actions, I had to be close and personal with the process.

I cried myself to sleep every day for a month, and every day was for a different reason. Sometimes I cried over the loss of who I thought I had married. I

word it this way because I never missed the person who stands in this courtroom today. This is not the man I exchanged wedding vows with or the man that I would ever choose to be the father of my children.

The person I married never existed. He was someone that the Defendant made up and personified. This is the person I cried for. It felt like the person had died, but I could not truly mourn like you would a death.

I will never forget having to go through all the Defendant's personal belongings and packing them up so I wouldn't have to look at them and be reminded of the partner I no longer had. Removing every memory of this person from my home was as therapeutic as it was heartbreaking.

The process made me realize that this was, in fact, my new reality and not some awful nightmare that I was going to wake up from. My entire world was falling apart around me.

There were many mornings where I didn't want to even get out of bed and face the reality. The only reason why I got up every day and did what had to be done is because of the children that were counting on me to care for them and meet their needs with a smile on my face when all I wanted to do was cry over what

was lost.

Eating and sleeping became difficult because of all the pain, anger, depression and stress that seemed to come in waves for months all the while trying to hide all these feelings from my small children and my family.

I felt like I had to be strong when in reality I never felt weaker and more defeated. It was a struggle trying to create a sense of normalcy for my children when everything around them was, in fact, not normal.

Bottling up all this stress, anger and hurt felt like it physically hurt sometimes. I would often cry out of pure anger. I was furious because someone so close to me has hurt and abused my children behind my back, and he was the one person I was supposed to be able to trust with everything.

When I trust someone with my children, I am trusting them with the most precious things in my entire world, and it kills me that my trust was so misplaced. I feel like my children and I have been betrayed in the ugliest and most awful ways possible.

The stress of having enough money and raising my children on my own seems like more than I could bear at times. I had to work very hard to stretch our money so that my kids could have food, a roof over their heads

and clothes on their backs.

I was a stay-at-home mother who had just given birth and was not in a position to find work. I found myself having many financial issues because of the Defendant's actions.

I am responsible for all the marital debt, and that is a burden I must now bear on my own. There were many bills that I could not cancel because they were in the Defendant's name, and so I had to spend a lot of money I did not have.

I had to make many phone calls explaining that I did not have the money to pay the bill that was sent to me, and that hurt my pride quite a bit.

I had to sell my home because I could not afford to live there by myself and it was too far away from my family to come help me every week. I had to accept significantly less than what it was worth so that I could move away as quickly as possible for financial reasons.

I had to say goodbye to the house where my children were born and where I raised them since infancy. There are still days months later where the kids and I miss our old home very much. However, we must accept the fact that that chapter in our life has come to a close.

The Defendant's actions has forced me to make some very difficult decisions in an attempt to clean up the mess that was left after his crimes; and, unfortunately, I did not have a lot of choices at my disposal.

I had to make some very hard decisions for not only myself but the children who depend on me for everything. There was no way that everyone was going to be happy, and there was no way that I could make things perfect.

I had to figure out the lesser of all the evils and just hope that I was doing the right things for everyone who has been affected by everything that had transpired.

Still to this day I hope that I'm doing the right things as the only parent these children have; but all I can really do is my best, love them with all my heart and surround them with people who love them as well.

I had to cope with the stress of DCYF coming into my home to make sure my children were living in a safe environment all because of the Defendant's actions and crimes.

THE COURT: Ma'am, do you mind just slowing down a little bit. I apologize.

SPEAKER: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: You have no reason to apologize.

The court reporter just has a difficult time keeping up with it. We all read fast, but if you can just slow down a little bit.

SPEAKER: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.

SPEAKER: There was so much fear and uncertainty about what to expect and what was going to happen at that time in my life.

I also made the decision to start going through -- going to counselling to help myself with some of the issues I had been dealing with since the Defendant's arrest, and I think I will probably be going for quite some time.

I have had to learn to trust people again, and I have had to learn that not everyone is going to lie to me. It has been very difficult accepting the fact that not everyone is out to hurt me or my children.

I have found myself pushing people away out of fear in an attempt to protect myself and, above all, my children. My thought process at the time was if I can't trust my own husband, then who on this earth can I trust? This is a very difficult lesson that I have had to learn and will continue to learn as my journey

with my therapist progresses.

The way this has affected the children is probably the most profound because not only were they personally victimized, but they are not old enough to understand what is happening around them.

You don't really know what they're feeling because they can only convey things in a very simplistic manner or just simply act out.

Losing their father was difficult and confusing for them. I've had to hold my son while he cried because he didn't understand where daddy was.

I had to try to help them adjust to a new living situation and a new routine that no longer included the father that had been in their lives since day one. I had to explain almost every day for a month that their father could not read to them, play with them and that he wouldn't be coming home. They had to leave the only home they had ever known because I had no choice but to do so.

It wasn't always easy having to share my attention when I was the only parent in the house, especially considering the fact that there was a new baby that they were getting used to.

I had to put the children in play therapy so that someone could help them cope with the many

emotions that they were going through. I also wanted someone to help the children cope with any abuse that they may have suffered at the hands of the person who was supposed to protect them with his life.

My son and daughter suffered with separation anxiety after losing their father, and it made leaving them with trusted family members very difficult for me if I had to go somewhere without them. They had to deal with a lot of changes in a very short period of time, and it has not been an easy process.

I've spent this last year wondering what my son has been exposed to and what he has witnessed and how it will affect him as he grows.

I've also had to wonder what the Defendant has put my daughter through because, frankly, I don't trust him to tell the whole truth.

I have to work extra hard to teach them healthy boundaries since their father has done nothing but cross every boundary that should never be crossed by anyone.

My children have to grow up without a positive healthy father figure because of what the Defendant has done and because of what he is, and that could not be more unfair to them.

It breaks my heart that I have to explain to my

children that they can't see their father because he hurt some people and he is not safe to be around. They don't quite understand what that means, but they have asked on multiple occasions where he is and why they can't see him.

They sometimes ask why he hurt people, and I honestly don't know what to say because I don't know how anyone can do what he has done. I felt like I owed them an answer because I've always based my parenting on being honest in an age-appropriate manner.

In conclusion, your Honor, I would love to see the Defendant get the maximum penalty for the crimes that he has committed. I know that that is not my decision to make, but I know that writing this statement is my chance to be heard as well as conveying what I want to see happen as this process comes to a close.

I think the crimes that were committed are unforgivable, and I hope to see that there is no leniency in the sentencing.

I'd like to thank you, your Honor, for giving me the chance to speak in your courtroom today and for allowing my voice to be heard.

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. I appreciate it.
Mr. Vilker.

MR. VILKER: Thank you, your Honor. I don't even know where to begin with this case. I've been a prosecutor now for like 20 years, and this is by far the worst case that I've ever handled in terms of the Defendant's conduct.

Your Honor's read the prosecution version. What this Defendant did to his own daughter, the daughter of a close family friend for a period of years and years when they were just little girls is unfathomable.

The harm that he's caused them they're never going to get past. They just never will. I know both of them are struggling, and I'm talking about the girls who are now 16. They're struggling in life. They're doing the best they can, but this isn't anything you can ever really get past.

I don't know how they're going to be successful when having an adult relationship with an adult male at some point in their lives. He's scarred them permanently. He abused them for years as they were just little children. It's -- you can't even find an adjective, despicable, horrific, grotesque. I don't even know how you can describe it.

When he was arrested last May, he still had in his phone and on his computer the videos and images of his sexual molestation of his daughter and the daughter

of a family friend that had occurred up until -- from 2007 to 2013. So he still had these images. He's still keeping them in his possession some five years later.

It's very clear that but for the fortuitous circumstances that led to his arrest, which really were a miracle that it happened, his two youngest daughters, the one who is now I believe about three years old and one who's I believe a year, would have been raped and molested their entire childhood.

He had already begun the process with his second-to-youngest daughter who from the time she was three weeks old until the time she was a year and a half, the Defendant was taking very graphic pictures of her, touching her inappropriately, putting things inside of her inappropriately. This is a little baby, and many images and videos were found of this little baby at the time. It's horrific.

The Defendant also -- it's almost like you can't even talk about these other parts of the case because they, as horrific as they are, they kind of pale in comparison to what the Defendant did with the actual contact offenses.

But there was another girl that the Defendant -- who was 11 years old that the Defendant put a hidden

camera in the bathroom to take video of her going into the shower.

He possessed thousands of images and videos of child pornography, and coming in now are a lot of the victim impact statements from those victims who were also raped and the Defendant got pleasure from their enjoyment (sic).

This is a profoundly dangerous and disturbing individual, and the need to punish this Defendant and to protect the public in this case is paramount.

He needs to be punished for what he has done to his victims. Their lives are forever going to be scarred and damaged, and they're going to be struggling with this for the rest of their lives, the two older girls, what he did to them; and he needs a very, very long sentence to punish him.

But even beyond that, your Honor, the public needs to be protected from this Defendant. This Defendant, as I mentioned before, to this date, to the date of his arrest was continuing this behavior with a little girl, a baby. It's very clear that she and now her younger sister, who was born after her, would have been molested for years to come.

This is a man who's obviously sexually attracted to little girls and obviously acts on those impulses

and has done so for years and years. Nothing is going to stop this Defendant from molesting little girls.

And really the only question before your Honor today, and I know the defense has asked for 22 years' imprisonment, the Government has asked for 100 years, and the reason why we picked that number is just to come up with any number that would ensure that this Defendant would never be released. There's nothing magical about the number 100.

We don't want a situation where this Defendant, if he were to be given what the defense has asked for, 22 years, he could be released in good time in 18 years and perhaps under the recent law get additional credit for programs he's taking inside the Bureau of Prisons and be released in his early 60s.

He could still do a lot of harm at that point.

At that point he may have granddaughters or other

little girls he has access to. This Defendant should

never be allowed to step outside of prison walls. Any

moment that he's outside is a danger to young girls.

I know in other cases this Court has handed down very harsh sentences in cases that I would argue, although obviously also horrific, were less -- slightly less horrific than this case.

I know in the Crisostomi case your Honor gave

that Defendant 35 years. In that case the Defendant made videos of him receiving oral sex from his seven-year-old daughter. Obviously horrific but less horrific, if you're going to put these in some kind of spectrum, than this Defendant who was having oral and vaginal relations with his own daughter and the daughter of a family friend for a period of many, many years.

Mr. Crisostomi got 35 years, and this Defendant should get more. This Defendant should get enough so that the public can feel confident that he's never going to harm another child again. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. Vilker.

Mr. Dawson.

MR. DAWSON: May it please the Court. Your Honor, on behalf of Mr. Goodman and myself, really, I want to acknowledge the difficulty of this case. This is a very difficult case, and I want to acknowledge the toll that it takes on everybody involved from the prosecution to the analysts that have to go through this, agreed, horrific evidence. From my own investigation, I know they were troubled by that. Certainly Mr. Goodman's family and, most importantly, the victims in this case.

Mr. Goodman, upon his arrest, I think did the only thing he could do for those victims and these people, is admit his guilt. I think it's very important to note that in this case, and I'll get in a moment to comparisons to other cases, but Mr. Goodman from the moment he was arrested volunteered a confession, a lengthy confession where he disclosed details that the Government could have never known but for his admissions.

The moment I met him, I was appointed on the first day of his appearance, I met him in the cellblock area, and he immediately informed me that he did not want to contest these matters. He did not want to put his daughter or any of the victims through the process, the traumatic process of having to testify at any level on this.

There was no grand jury. There certainly was no trial. I know the Court has experience in those matters as well as the prosecution and myself. I've dealt with cases like this hundreds of times on both sides, and I really don't want to undersell or oversell; but the traumatic and heartbreaking experience that a person would have, a young girl would have having to testify is incalculable. It's in many respects a revictimization.

And I'm certainly not standing here attempting to excuse Mr. Goodman's behavior in this matter. He's pled guilty to a host of very serious crimes, but I do respectfully request that this Court acknowledge that he's done all he could to try to minimize the damage on the victims in this case, and that was his priority.

The Court, in addition to having a toll on probably all of us, I respectfully suggest it's difficult for you now to sit here and determine what is sufficient but not greater than necessary in keeping with the mandate of the statute but, in addition, dealing with guidelines that are just almost unbelievable.

When you count them out loud, I read it, but when you count them out loud like that, it's difficult to even fathom how many upticks and different enhancers are present here.

I understand that. I understand all those upticks. I understand why they do that. I understand the guidelines. I understand the Government's recommendation. I understand the victim's mother who testified, who just spoke to you. I understand why she wants him to receive the maximum.

But that's -- I understand, and I understand -- and I'm not even going to say that's the easy way to do

things because in many ways a lot of those calculations are appropriate, but Mr. Goodman comes before the Court in a much different posture than many of the other Defendants that are referred to by the Government.

I generally don't make those comparison arguments. I just don't make those comparison arguments. I don't generally make them. I don't do that.

As a young prosecutor, I was told by a very distinguished jurist that every case is like a snowflake. They're all different. Every Defendant comes before the Court with his own set of circumstances, and every case is different.

And I recognize you have some measure of uniformity that's going to be needed, so I took some time after receiving the Government's memo to do my best to research all of those cases. There's only so much information you can get due to various seals and different things, but I'm able to get a flavor for all of them.

And I'm going to respectfully suggest the one difference in this case as opposed to those, regardless of the facts and what happened, is in this case Mr. Goodman comes to the Court with the absence of any criminal history whatsoever. He's never been --

he's never been arrested before.

He also -- and what I find glaring in many of those cases is the total lack of remorse and the fact that folks sometimes just -- you know, they want to be more concerned with themselves, their own self-interest and not try to minimize their exposure to jail.

Mr. Goodman has not done that in this case. In a moment he's going to address the Court, and I'm going to tell you now he's not a proficient public speaker. He's got a lot of anxiety. He's a very nervous fellow. He had a great deal of difficulty getting through the interview for the presentence investigation. I've met with him many times. He's pretty comfortable talking to me.

He did his best to articulate his level of remorse to this Court in his letter, and it's my practice just for ease of the Court to take these letters that are prepared by Defendants and retype them; but I didn't do that in this case. It was handwritten, he wrote it, he wrote it without my help, and I submitted it in its original form.

We turn next, I think, to the appropriate sentence. And I understand many of the recommendations and such; but as I said, both cases have their own facts and circumstances and backgrounds.

You're aware of Mr. Goodman's background, and I'm hesitant to get into it now. He was a victim himself, never sought help. Apparently everybody knew about it. You received letters from his mother and a family friend detailing that, the difficulty he had growing up.

He never received any help whatsoever. And to his credit, he's receiving it now. And I've included that, and I expect that to continue for many years to come.

But that's not an excuse, and I think the first letter -- the first words in his letter to you is he doesn't make any excuses. He did it. He understands it.

I don't stand here asking you to show him any measure of really -- real measure of leniency.

Mr. Goodman and I discussed a potential recommendation or potentially not even making a recommendation to this Court, and ultimately I left the decision to him.

He's asking for 22 years. The minimum sentence you can give him is 15, and I think on his own he understands that -- he wants to send this Court a message that he understands that he needs to be punished.

I'm not asking you to let -- to show him any

leniency. I'm asking you for more than two decades of jail. I'm asking you for a 50 percent increase over the minimum sentence, and that's the basis upon which we arrived at that number.

He wants you to know, not through just words, I guess he could have written anything, he wants you to know through an action, like, he understands. You're going to give him more than the minimum. He deserves a lengthy sentence.

The question you have to ask yourself, and it's your decision, and I understand that and will respect it, he's tried to come to you with some credibility, tell you how sorry he was.

And respectfully, I think it's a big deal that he never had anyone testify or do anything to in any way defend himself of this matter. He knew he was going to jail for a long time the moment I met him, and he's prepared to accept whatever sentence the Court doles out now.

But based on his background, his true and legitimate remorse over what happened, what he did, the question becomes are we going to lock this man up forever as the Government suggests or is there some notion that he's not beyond redemption, is there some notion that if he avails himself of all that he can

that some day, I know it's going to be a long time from now even if you accept my recommendation, he can rejoin society after being properly rehabilitated. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. Dawson.

Mr. Goodman, do you want to say anything before I impose sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Why don't you stand up, please.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm not good at --

THE COURT: That's okay. Take your time.

THE DEFENDANT: I've ben incarcerated at the Donald W. Wyatt Detention Facility following my arrest for my crimes for the past nine to ten months.

In these past months, I have had a lot of time to reflect on my actions and their consequences. I have no excuse for the decisions I made and the effects they caused.

I take full responsibility for everything I've done. I was supposed to protect my children and cherish my family and my wife and my friends. I've lost everything that was most precious to me and have destroyed the lives of those closest to me.

I truly never realized just how destructive and

selfish my actions were, and I'm truly sorry for the pain and suffering I have caused. I have wasted the Court's time and resources. I have left my wife, Rachel, to raise our children on her own as well as lost her love and trust.

I've lost the trust of my sons and daughters.

I've lost the trust of my brother, my sister, my

mother, countless others I was close to, and I never

meant to cause anyone any pain. I never did.

I continuously wanted to find a way to get help. I realized it was wrong, but I didn't know where I could go to get help or who I could tell. I'm now receiving some care and help and have been engaged in programs here at the facility. As I move on, I will continue to enroll in programs to help me battle this.

I can only hope that one day all those lives who I have affected can forgive me. Once again, I'm truly sorry for everything I've done and all the pain and anguish I caused. I never, ever, ever meant to cause anyone any pain. I did not realize exactly how selfish I was being, and I'm truly sorry.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Goodman. Why don't you remain standing, please.

THE DEFENDANT: Sorry.

THE COURT: That's okay. Sentencing requires a

Court to look at the history and characteristics of the person that stands before us and the nature and circumstances of the offense and analyze each of those and then come up with a sentence that performs a number of different factors: It punishes, it deters the individual, it protects society by deterring others, it provides the deterrence, it provides needed educational or vocational training and rehabilitation. And somehow through all of that you have to come up with an appropriate sentence.

Let me begin with the history and characteristics of the person that stands before me. It is incredibly hard to sentence a victim who went out and victimized others, and there is no way that the Court can analyze this case without acknowledging and attempting to understand what you went through as a child.

And at the time, I suppose it was maybe the '60s or '70s or whenever it was, where you were sexually molested by a trusted teacher at the school and that when your parents got wind of this and reported it, nothing was done, things were turned the other way, I can't imagine what effect that had on you. It must have been as egregious as what we've read about today.

And then the other instances of abuse that

you -- that were brought upon you, it is clear that you have been a victim of sexual abuse and sexual assault.

And it is clear to me from what I know that as a result of your victimization, you went out and victimized.

It's one of those cases where the crime is so horrendous that it's difficult to acknowledge that potentially your culpability isn't as great as the seriousness of the offense that you committed.

I can't imagine what it was like to have been abused over those years, and I can't imagine what you did; but it's clear to me that there was a cause and effect.

So evaluating your culpability, that is, the history and characteristics of you, isn't the dominant factor that I look at whereas in some cases it is. It really turns the focus on the nature and seriousness of the offense.

I've only been on the bench almost eight years. Mr. Vilker talked about 20 years' history. I can't imagine anyone, maybe the law enforcement officers are different, I don't know, that could imagine a more egregious result.

You spent six years, six years abusing, sexually abusing two young girls when they were six years old to 12 years old, the most vulnerable time of their life,

and the person they most trusted victimized them for six years in a serious and egregious way. It's incomprehensible what effect it will have on them.

And then after a few years potentially, if we know all the facts, you picked it up again in 2005, 2006, I think; and you began abusing an infant, your own infant, in a sexual manner. I really can't conceive of how horrific an effect that will have on those folks for the rest of their lives.

And so the question for the Court in determining an appropriate sentence is, what's appropriate with what we know today. And the only conclusion I can come to, Mr. Goodman, is that I have one obligation that stands far and above everything else that I'm required to consider, all of which I've considered. Two things. One is how do I protect the public from you ever doing this again to anyone and how do I give assurances to your victims that they'll never be abused at your hands again.

And the only way that I can do that and I'm required to do that and will do that is to ensure that you never get out of prison again, that you never are allowed to or able to hurt anyone again. And it's the protection of the public and it's the confidence that the victims know that they will forever and ever and

ever be protected from your hands that motivate the Court's sentence.

Again, it's not punishment that's motivating me in this at all in light of your history and characteristics. It's protection of the public, and it's to protect as best we can the victims to know and forever realize that you will not get out of prison and potentially hurt them again because I have no assurance, none, I have no assurance that this could ever -- that you will ever stop.

I mean, we haven't even talked about -- we haven't even focused on the fact that not only, Mr. Goodman, not only did you abuse your children in a violent and sexual manner, but you filmed it and you photographed it and you put it up on the internet for others to continue to abuse your victims.

The level of depravity that that represents to me is beyond -- absolutely beyond comprehension that I cannot take a chance, I cannot take a chance that you will do that to anyone again because I think you are that sick, whether it's your own fault or not.

(Pause)

MR. DAWSON: Your Honor, my client appears to be unconscious. Perhaps a brief recess is in order.

THE COURT: Sure. Marshals, do we need to

call --

MARSHAL: We will. We will, Judge.

MR. DAWSON: May I have one moment?

THE COURT: Certainly.

(Defendant confers with counsel)

MR. DAWSON: Your Honor, my client and I have had a conversation. He does appear to be lucid, and it's his desire to conclude this matter.

THE COURT: He can remain seated for the proceeding. You can sit down, too, Mr. Dawson. It will make it easier.

The Court's going to impose a sentence as follows: As to Counts I through VIII, which are the sexual exploitation of a child, the Court's going to impose a period of 30 years as to each of those eight counts. Each of those counts is to run consecutively, meaning one after the other.

The Court is going to impose a period of 20 years as to Count IX, which is the distribution of child pornography, which is to run consecutively to -- all counts are to run consecutively to each other.

Thirty years each as to Counts I through VIII. Twenty years as to Count IX.

Mr. Vilker, we didn't address the issue of restitution. How many victims have asked for

restitution? 1 2 MR. VILKER: There are six victims, your Honor. 3 They each ask for restitution. I know it's a bit 4 academic since there's no assets, but --5 THE COURT: The Court's going to impose restitution of \$1,000 as to each of the six victims. 6 7 Interest is waived. A fine isn't appropriate, but the 8 Court will impose the \$900 mandatory special 9 assessment, and the Court will impose a lifetime of 10 supervised release with just the standard conditions. 11 As part of the plea agreement, the Defendant 12 waived any right to appeal the sentence that the Court 13 imposed. 14 Mr. Vilker, is there anything further for the 15 Government? 16 MR. VILKER: No, your Honor. Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Ms. Picozzi, is there anything 18 further for probation? 19 THE PROBATION OFFICER: No, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Dawson, is there anything 21 further for Mr. Goodman? 22 MR. DAWSON: No, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Thanks, folks. We'll stand 24 adjourned. 25 (Adjourned)

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	
4	I, Karen M. Wischnowsky, RPR-RMR-CRR, do
5	hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and
6	accurate transcription of my stenographic notes in the
7	above-entitled case.
8	
9	April 11, 2019
10	Date
11	
12	
13	/s/ Karen M. Wischnowsky
14	Karen M. Wischnowsky, RPR-RMR-CRR Federal Official Court Reporter
15	rederar official court Reporter
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	